UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,451	12/20/2004	Hyo-Young Bae	1455-045907	8406	
7590 04/02/2007 Kent E Baldauf 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BUI, LUAN KIM		
Pittsburgh, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0 /			3728		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		'					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/518,451	BAE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Luan K. Bui	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir 7ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 26-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20,23 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,22 and 24</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	••					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
	• .						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/05.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/518,451

Art Unit: 3728

1. Applicant's election of Group II, Figures 6a-16 and claims 8-25 in the reply filed on 3/14/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8, 9, 15, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The Related Prior Art as shown in Figure 1 and pages 1-3 of the specification of the instant Patent Application (hereinafter The Related Prior Art) in view of either Ellerbrock (4,289,265) or Carpenter (2,640,524). The Related Prior Art a welding wire container (100) comprising an outer shell (110), a base plate (120) for closing a lower end of the outer shell, a lid (150) for covering the outer shell, a fitting projection (132) arranged in a lower inner portion of the outer shell and having a diameter smaller than the base plate for catching and supporting the base plate thereon and other claimed limitations except for a lower fixture comprises a flange extending along a lower edge of the outer shell and a supporting face extending along a lower outer periphery of the outer shell for wrapping the lower of the outer shell.

Ellerbrock teaches a container comprising an outer shell (2) and a lower fixture (6, 34-40) for wrapping a lower end of the outer shell and the lower fixture having a flange (6a) extending

Application/Control Number: 10/518,451

Art Unit: 3728

along a lower edge of the outer shell and a supporting face (6b) folded from the flange and extending along a lower outer periphery of the outer shell. Carpenter shows a container comprising an outer shell (20) and a lower fixture (25-30) for wrapping a lower end of the outer shell and the lower fixture having a flange (27) extending along a lower edge of the outer shell and a supporting face (next to a bead portion 26) folded from the flange and extending along a lower outer periphery of the outer shell (Figures 1-9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of either Ellerbrock or Carpenter to modify the container of The Related Prior Art so it includes a lower fixture comprises a flange extending along a lower edge of the outer shell and a supporting face extending along a lower outer periphery of the outer shell for wrapping the lower of the outer shell to provide an additional strength and rigidity to the container.

As to claim 15, The Related Prior Art further discloses an upper protrusion (130a) enlarged in diameter beyond the outer shell and the upper protrusion includes a groove (no numeral), and an upper fixture (160) for fixing and wrapping the lid at an upper end of the outer shell. The upper fixture comprises a flange (top surface) extending inward along an outer edge of the lid, a supporting face (side surface) folded from the flange and extending along an outer periphery of the upper protrusion and a bottom edge (no numeral) having a diameter smaller than the upper protrusion for engaging the groove in the upper protrusion. However, The Related Prior Art fails to show a groove in lieu of the bottom edge, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the upper fixture of The Related Prior Art so the upper fixture comprises a folded groove similar to the groove in the upper protrusion for better securing the upper fixture to the upper protrusion.

Art Unit: 3728

As to claims 23 and 25, Carpenter shows the lower fixture comprises clamping means (25).

Claims 10-14 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. the references as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Harrison (2,568,284) or Bergstrom (2,819,007). The container of The Related Prior Art as modified further fails to show the fitting projection includes a folded portion which being overlapped to have an outside diameter substantially identical with the inside diameter of the lower end of the outer shell or a ring member as in claim 11.

Harrison shows a container comprising an outer shell (18) and a fitting projection (Figure 4) arranged in a lower inner portion of the outer shell and the fitting projection defines by a folded portion which is overlapped the outer shell and the folded portion having an outside diameter substantially identical with an inside diameter of the lower end of the outer shell. Bergstrom suggests a container comprising an outer shell (1) and a fitting projection arranged in a lower inner portion of the outer shell and the fitting projection defines by a ring member (4) having an outside diameter which is considered equivalent to substantially identical with the inside diameter of the lower end of the outer shell (Figures 1-2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Harrison or Bergstrom to modify the container of The Related Prior Art as modified so the fitting projection comprises a folded portion as claimed in claims 9-13 for supporting the base plate and because the selection of the specific fitting projection such as the fitting projection as claimed or as taught by The Related Prior Art or Harrison or Bergstrom would have been an obvious matter of design choice of art recognized equivalent fitting projection inasmuch as a number of different ones appear to be suitable and

Application/Control Number: 10/518,451

Art Unit: 3728

inasmuch as applicant's specification does not state that using these specific features as claimed

Page 5

solves any particular problem or yields any unexpected results.

As to claim 14, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the base plate and the lower fixture of The Related Prior Art as

modified so the base plate and the lower fixture are made from paper material similar to the outer

shell to facilitate recycling and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in

the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of

obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

As to claim 19, The Related Prior Art fails to show the upper end of the inner shell being folded

inward, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Harrison to

modify the inner shell of The Related Prior Art as modified so the upper end of the inner shell is

folded inward to provide an additional strength and rigidity to the inner shell.

As to claim 20, Harrison shows an underlying circular backing member (24).

5. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

references as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Watson (6,047,846). The container

of The Related Prior Art as modified further fails to show the outer shell includes an outer

protrusion. Watson shows a container (10) comprising an outer shell (14) having an outer

protrusion (20, 22) with a diameter larger than the diameter of the outer shell. It would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Watson to modify the container of

The Related Prior Art as modified so the outer shell includes an outer protrusion disposed

Art Unit: 3728

adjacent to a lower end of an upper fixture to provide an additional strength and rigidity to the container.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 21, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lkb March 29, 2007 Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728